4 5

6

12 13

14

11

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

STATE OF ARIZONA

DEC 1 3 1993

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

In the Matter of

Docket No. 8287

COLONIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA

CONSENT ORDER

Respondent.

A Market Conduct Examination of Respondent, Colonial Insurance Company of California ("Colonial"), was conducted by Market Conduct Examiners ("the Examiners") for the Arizona Department of Insurance ("ADOI"). Based on the Report of Market Conduct Examination prepared by the Examiners, it is alleged that Respondent has violated provisions of the Arizona Revised Statutes, Title 20, including Sections 20-297, 20-383, 20-443, 20-448, 20-461, 20-462, 20-1631, 20-1632, 20-1632.01 and Arizona Administrative Code "A.A.C." R4-14-801. Respondent wishes to resolve this matter without formal adjudicative proceedings and hereby agrees to a Consent Order.

The Director of Insurance of the State of Arizona ("the Director") enters the following Findings of Fact Conclusions of Law, which are neither admitted nor denied by Respondent, and the following Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Respondent is authorized to transact property and 1. casualty insurance, pursuant to a Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.
- The Examiners were authorized by the ADOI to conduct a 2. market conduct examination of Respondent. The on-site examination was concluded as of January 5, 1993 and a Report of

Examination ("Report") was written. The Examiners reviewed open and closed claim files from March, 1987 through September, 1992. Also, the Examiners reviewed underwriting and rating files from November, 1985 through October, 1992. Cancellation files were reviewed for the years 1990, 1991 through October, 1992.

- 3. Respondent failed to file its list of agents with the Director prior to January 30, 1992.
- 4. Respondent quoted premiums for 334 personal automobile ("PA") policies and issued the policies at that price for a shorter term, where all necessary information for determining rates were available to Respondent at the time the quotations were made. The misquotations of premiums were caused by incorrect rating calculations being applied to 312 policies; incorrect territories being used in three (3) policies and incorrect symbols being used on nineteen (19) policies.
- 5. Respondent cancelled fourteen (14) PA policies which had been in effect for more than sixty (60) days for reasons other then those listed in A.R.S. § 20-1631(C).
- 6. Respondent cancelled thirty (30) PA policies, but failed to send the notice of cancellation by certified mail or United States post office certificate of mailing.
- 7. Respondent terminated fifty-four (54) PA policies, but failed to send a notice of nonrenewal or cancellation at least ten (10) days prior to the effective date of such nonrenewal or cancellation date.
- 8. Respondent cancelled thirty (30) PA policies, but failed to give the specific facts which constituted the reasons for cancellation.

- 9. Respondent cancelled 75 PA policies without sending refunds of unearned premium to the insureds with the cancellation notices.
- 10. Respondent cancelled fifty-two (52) PA policies for nonpayment of premium, but failed to provide the minimum grace period of seven (7) days for payment of any premium due.
- 11. Respondent cancelled fifty-three (53) PA policies, but failed to mail notices of cancellation to the insureds after the end of the grace period.
- 12. Respondent failed to cancel PA Policy #010049110 on the date of the insured's request to cancel. The policy was cancelled after the requested date, thereby reducing the return premium to the insured by \$83.00.
- 13. In one (1) first party total loss, Claim #14279, the Respondent failed to support the ACV of the vehicle with documentation giving particulars of the automobile's condition in electing a cash settlement of the claim. The settlement amount was determined by means other than the value of a comparable automobile in the local market area or by utilizing two (2) dealer quotes. The file of Claim #11664 did not contain documentation as to the value of the salvage.
- 14. Respondent failed to advise two (2) first party total loss insureds, Claims #15516 and #22897, that they had coverage for clothing stolen in connection with the theft of their vehicles.
- 15. Respondent failed to acknowledge receipt of one (1) notification of claim, PA Claim #11616, within ten (10) working days.

16. Respondent failed to complete its investigation of eighteen (18)claims PΑ within thirty (30) days after notification of the claims. There was no evidence in the file that the Respondent needed more time to complete its investigation.

17. Respondent failed to pay the full amount of sales taxes and fees due on 126 first-party automobile total loss claims. A total of \$22,408.82 was due these claimants but was not paid.

18. Respondent failed to use the cost of a comparable automobile or dealer quotations or document deviations therefrom to establish the basis for a cash settlement on twenty-three (23) automobile total loss settlements.

19. On eighty-seven (87) first party total loss claims, Respondent took undocumented deductions, thereby reducing the ACV. The settlement was then based on the lower ACV amount. A total of \$21,053.14 less was paid to these insureds than what should have been paid had Respondent not made the undocumented deductions.

20. On five (5) first party total loss claim files, Respondent failed to pay the claims within thirty (30) days after the receipt of an acceptable proof of loss.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- By failing to file its list of agents prior to January
 1. By failing to file its list of agents prior to January
 30, 1992, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-297.
- 2. By erroneously quoting premiums for policies at a price for a specific term and issuing the policies at that price for a shorter term, where all necessary information for

determining rates was available to Respondent at the time the quotations were made, Respondent misrepresented policies to the insureds in violation of A.R.S. § 20-443(1).

- 3. As the result of misquotation of premium charges by its agents, Respondent collected premiums not determined using by using its filed rates and rules, thereby violating A.R.S. § 20-383(A).
- 4. Respondent unfairly discriminated between insureds with substantially similar risks by developing premiums for certain insureds which were different than the premiums developed for substantially similar risks in violation of A.R.S. \$ 20-448(C).
- 5. Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-1631(C) by cancelling policies in effect for more than sixty (60) days reasons other than those listed in A.R.S. § 20-1631(C).
- 6. Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-1632(A) by failing to send notices of PA policy cancellation by certified mail or United States post office certificate of mailing and by failing to send notices of nonrenewal or cancellation at least ten (10) days prior to the effective date of the nonrenewal or cancellation.
- 7. Respondent violated A.R.S. § 1632(A)(1) by failing to give the specific facts which constitute the reason for the cancellations of PA policies.
- 8. Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-1632(A)(3) by failing to send refunds of unearned premium to insureds with PA policy cancellation notices.

.

- 9. Respondent violated A.R.S. § 1632.01(A) by cancelling PA policies for nonpayment of premium, but failing to provide the minimum grace period of seven (7) days for payment of any premium due and owing.
- 10. By failing to send notices to PA policyholders of cancellation for nonpayment of premium after the seven (7) day grace period, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-1632.01(B).
- 11. Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-448(C) by failing to cancel PA insured's policy as of the request of the insured.
- 12. By failing to use the cost of a comparable automobile or dealer quotations to establish the basis for a cash settlement of an automobile total loss claim and failing to document deviations therefrom, Respondent violated A.A.C. R4-14-801(H)(1)(b) and A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(6).
- 13. Respondent violated A.A.C. R4-14-801(D)(1) by failing to disclose to insureds all pertinent benefits, coverages or other provisions of an insurance policy or an insurance contract under which a claim is presented.
- 14. By failing to acknowledge notification of an automobile total loss claim within ten (10) working days, Respondent violated A.A.C. R4-14-801(E)(1).
- 15. By failing to complete the investigation of automobile total loss claims within thirty (30) days of notification, Respondent violated A.A.C. R4-14-801(F) and A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(3).
- 16. By failing to pay the full amount of sales taxes and license fees required for the purchase of comparable automobiles to first party claimants in their settlement of first party

automobile total loss claims, Respondent violated A.C.C. Rule 4-14-801(H)(1)(b) and A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(6).

- 17. By failing to document deviations from the methodology of A.A.C. Rule 4-14-801(H)(1)(a) and (b) in the calculation of ACV of automobiles involved in first party total loss claims, to give particulars of the automobiles' conditions and to make deductions from the ACV which were measurable, discernible, itemized and specified as to dollar amount and appropriate in amount, Respondent violated A.A.C. Rules 4-14-801(H)(1)(c) and (H)(6) and A.R.S. § 20-461(A)(6).
- 18. By failing to pay automobile total loss settlements within thirty (30) days after receipt of an acceptable proof of loss and failing to pay interest thereon, Respondent violated A.R.S. § 20-462(A).
- 19. Grounds exist for the entry of all other provisions of the following Order.

ORDER

Respondent having admitted the jurisdiction of the Director to enter the Order set forth herein, having waived the Notice of Hearing, and having consented to the entry of the Order set forth hereinafter, and there being no just reason for delay:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Respondent shall cease and desist from quoting or charging premiums other than as determined on the basis of its filed rates and rules; from quoting premiums at a price for a specific term and then issuing policies at the quoted price for a shorter term; from unfairly discriminating between insureds

with substantially similar risks; from not refunding unearned premiums with the policy cancellation notices; from cancelling policies for reasons not allowed under Arizona statutes; from failing to send notices to PA policyholders of cancellation for nonpayment of premium after the seven (7) day grace period; from not acknowledging notification of an automobile total loss claim within ten (10) working days; from failing to complete automobile total loss claim within thirty (30) days; from failing to pay the total amount of sales taxes and license fees in settlements of automobile total loss claims; from failing to use the cost of a comparable automobile or a dealer quotation including documentation to support any deviation therefrom to establish the basis for a cash settlement of automobile total loss claims; from taking undocumented deductions on automobile total loss settlements and from failing to pay automobile total loss settlements within thirty (30) days of receipt of an acceptable proof of loss.

- 2. Respondent shall develop and submit to ADOI, within sixty (60) days of the filed date of this Report, written action plans to:
- a. ensure that all producers quote accurate premiums to be in compliance with A.R.S. §§ 20-383, 20-385, 20-443 and 20-448(C).
- b. ensure that all personnel who are responsible for cancellations and refunds of unearned premiums to insureds are familiar and comply with A.R.S. §§ 20-1631(C), 20-1632(A), (A)(1) and (A)(3), 20-1632.01(A)(B), and 20-448(C).

.

27

27

28

c. ensure that all personnel who are responsible for the rating of policies are familiar and comply with A.R.S. \$ 20-448(C).

- d. ensure that all personnel who are responsible for claims are familiar and comply with A.A.C. R4-14-801(C), (D)(1), (E)(1), (F), (H)(1)(b), (H)(1)(c), A.R.S. §§ 20-462 and 20-461(A)(6).
- 3. Respondent shall send a letter of explanation, acceptable to the ADOI, to the insureds identified in Exhibits 15 and 17 of the Report and refund a total of \$43,461.96, plus interest at the rate of ten (10%) percent per annum from the date of the first party total loss settlement until the date of payment.
- 4. Respondent shall send а letter of explanation, acceptable to the ADOI, to the insured of Policy #010049110 and refund \$83.00 to the insured, plus interest at the rate of ten (10%) percent per annum from the date of the requested cancellation to the date of payment.
- 5. Respondent shall send a letter of explanation, acceptable to the ADOI, to the five (5) insureds identified in Exhibit 21 of the Report and pay interest at the rate of ten (10%) per annum from the date the claim was received by the Respondent until the date of payment.
- 6. A list of the payments made pursuant to Paragraphs 3, 4 and 5, giving the name and address of each party to whom payments were made, the base amount of the payment, the amount of interest paid and the date of payment shall be provided to

the ADOI within ninety (90) days of the filed date of this Order.

- 7. The ADOI shall be permitted, through authorized representatives, to verify Respondent has fully complied with all requirements of this Order, and the Director may separately order Respondent to comply.
- 8. Respondent shall pay a civil penalty of Sixty Thousand Dollars (\$60,000.00) to the Director for remission to the State Treasurer for deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. §20-220(B). Said \$60,000.00 shall be provided to the Hearing Division of the ADOI on or before December 15, 1993.
- 9. The January 5, 1993 Report of Examination, to include any objections to the Report by Respondents, shall be filed with the ADOI.

DATED at Phoenix, Arizona this 13th day of December ,1993.

Susan Gallinger Director of Insurance

6

11

9

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Respondent, Colonial Insurance Company of California has reviewed the foregoing Consent Order.

CONSENT TO ORDER

- 2. Respondent is aware of its right to a hearing at which hearing Respondent may be represented by counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Respondent has irrevocably waived its right to such public hearing and to any court appeals relating thereto.
- Respondent admits the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, and consents to the entry of this Consent Order.
- 4. Respondent states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Consent Order and that it has entered into this Consent Order voluntarily.
- 5. Respondent acknowledges that the acceptance of this Order by the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, is solely for the purpose of settling this matter against it and does not preclude any other agency or officer of this state or subdivision thereof from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- David F. McGire represents that as Vice President Law he is an officer of Respondent and that, as such, he is authorized by it to enter into this Consent Order on its behalf.

COLONIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA

By Jd Fab

1 COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered day of December, 1993, to: this 13th 2 Katrina Rogers 3 Chief Hearing Officer Deloris E. Williamson Assistant Director Rates & Regulations Division *Saul R. Saulson Examinations Supervisor 6 Rates and Regulations Division Bernard Hill Property and Casualty Supervisor Rates and Regulations Division Maureen Catalioto 8 Licensing Supervisor 9 Rates and Regulations Division Jay Rubin Assistant Director 10 Investigations Division 11 Gary Torticill Assistant Director and Chief Financial Examiner Corporate & Financial Affairs Division 12 DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210 13 Phoenix, AZ 85018 14 David F. McGuire, Esq. Vice President, Law/Litigation 15 Colonial Insurance Company of California P.O. Box 4347 16 Anaheim, California 92803-4347 Wis Chanford 17 18 19 20 21 22 **2**3 24

25

26

27